Foster youth
New study describes demographic and achievement characteristics of California’s foster youth

Introduction

The unique needs of California’s students in foster care have often been overlooked in efforts to improve academic achievement for underserved and underperforming students. California’s wide-ranging new funding reform, the Local Control Funding Formula, brings the challenges faced by foster youth into greater focus by 1) identifying students in foster care as among California’s neediest students, 2) providing additional funding to help districts meet those needs, and 3) requiring the state to “track the academic progress of children in foster care—the first in the nation to do so.”

This fact sheet summarizes a new study of California’s foster youth that describes the demographic, schooling, and achievement patterns for these students. An innovation of the study is that it compares outcomes for foster youth to those of other at-risk groups of students—as well as to those of the state’s K–12 population as a whole—and thus reveals challenges that might be greater for students in foster care than for other low-income pupils. This “first-ever education snapshot” of all California’s K–12 foster youth is the result of a new capacity to link statewide education and child welfare data. A fundamental conclusion of the research is that California students in foster care have unique characteristics that justify their identification as a separate at-risk student subgroup and that this subgroup has a significant achievement gap compared to the other student groups.

Findings

In the 2009-10 school year, 43,140 K-12 students ages 5-17 attended California schools and were concentrated in a relatively small number of districts: two-thirds were enrolled in just 10 percent of the state’s school districts.

» Forty-three percent of foster youth were Latino, 26 percent African-American, 23 percent White, and 2 percent Asian. Every group showed an equal percentage of males and females.

» Foster youth were much more likely than low-income students, as a whole, to be 1) African-American, 2) classified with a disability—particularly an emotional disturbance—, 3) overage for their grade, and 4) enrolled in grades 9-11. Foster youth were less likely than low-income students overall to be Latino or to be English learners.

» Approximately one-third of foster youth changed schools at least once during the school year while only about 9 percent of other low income students did so. They were also much more likely to attend nontraditional public schools, suggesting that they “were unsuccessful at traditional schools”.

» Students in foster care were consistently more likely than the general student population to attend the state’s lowest-performing schools and less likely to attend the state’s highest-performing schools: approximately 15 percent attended the former while only 2 percent attended the latter.

» On statewide tests of English language arts and math, foster youth showed an achievement gap similar to English learners and to students with disabilities and were consistently outperformed by low-income students.
Students in foster care were less likely than all comparison groups to graduate from high school. The graduation rate for all grade-12 students statewide was 84 percent, but for students in foster care, it was just 58 percent—the lowest rate among the at-risk student groups.

Conclusion

The over 43,000 K-12 students in foster care face a range of educational, social, and emotional challenges and are struggling in California schools. Yet evidence indicates that when they receive adequate academic and social supports they can persist and succeed in school. By identifying these students as high needs and by beginning to track who they are and how they are faring, the state’s new accountability reforms provide a springboard for beginning to more assiduously address these challenges.

Recommendations

» Act now: the challenges that these students face are significant and urgent.

» Identify foster youth and their achievement outcomes in your district.

» In addition to test scores and dropout rates, look at data for other factors for high risk students: absenteeism, suspension, and expulsion, as well as the pre-school and postsecondary experiences, of these students relative to other at-risk student groups.

» Use all of these data to guide investments in improving outcomes for foster youth.
Further information


CSBA Sample Policies and Policy Briefs

(See www.csba.org/LCFFToolkit > Plan > Tools & Resources for hyperlinks to these resources)


CSBA’s sample policy for foster youth: BP/AR 6173.1 – Education for Foster Youth (November 2010) www.csba.org/pnb.aspx

Other Resources

(See www.csba.org/FosterYouth)


Fact Sheet: Foster Care Education; Casey Family Programs, 2005 http://bit.ly/IW5BF

Grasping with the Gaps: Toward a Research Agenda to Meet the Educational Needs of Children and Youth in Foster Care; WestEd, The Center for Teaching and Learning, Stuart Foundation, April 2010 http://bit.ly/1jjf1M


Educating Children in Foster Care; Casey Family Programs, 2007 http://bit.ly/1kjjA4p

Blueprint For Change: Education Success for Children in Foster Care; American Bar Association, Casey Family Programs, 2008 http://bit.ly/1hmju9F


Foster Care in California Achievements and Challenges; Public Policy Institute of California, May 2010 http://www.ppic.org/main/publication.asp?i=905


Related links

California Department of Education Foster Youth website http://www.cde.ca.gov/ls/pf/fy/

California Youth Connection http://www.calyouthconn.org/

Casey Family Programs http://www.casey.org/

The Center for Child and Youth Policy http://ccyp.berkeley.edu/

National Center for Youth Law http://www.youthlaw.org/

School Enrollment Procedures for Foster Youth http://bit.ly/1jhvHP

10 Facts Every Foster Youth Should Know http://www.fosteryouthhelp.ca.gov/10facts.html