## CSBA ELA Adequacy Committee

Prepared for CSBA by
Robert Manwaring Consulting
March 2015

## Competing Measures of Per Pupil Funding

$>$ National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) (2010-11). An official government source, provides historic data.
> Ed Week (2011-12). Uses NCES data, but adjusts for regional costs - an important factor for California.
> National Education Association (NEA). Most timely source of estimates (Currently 2012-13, 2013-14 data will be available soon).

## Funding Gaps Arise Post Prop 13



# California Has Fallen Far Behind Top 10 States 

Funding Gap with Top 10 States (NCES Per Pupil Spending - Constant \$)
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## Ed Week - California Faces Higher Costs

| State | Regional Cost <br> Relative to National <br> Average |
| :--- | :---: |
| New York | 1.13 |
| California | 1.11 |
| Texas | 1.03 |
| Illinois | 1.02 |
| National Average | 1.00 |
| Georgia | 0.98 |
| Florida | 0.91 |

## California Ranking Low

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEA | Ed Week <br> (Cost Adjusted) | NCES |  |
| National Average | $\$ 11,308$ | $\$ 11,735$ | $\$ 11,948$ |
| California | $\underline{\$ 9,013}$ | $\$ 8,308$ | $\$ 9,983$ |
| Funding Gap | $\$ 2,295$ | $\$ 3,427$ | $\$ 1,965$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| State Ranking | $42^{\text {nd }}$ | $45^{\text {th }}$ | $37^{\text {th }}$ |

cslo Source: NEA, NCES, Ed Week 2010-11 through 2012-13

## CA Funding Gaps and Costs to Close Gaps

| Amount needed to get to national average according to: |  |  | Amount needed to get to average of 5 largest states according to: |  |  | Amount needed to get to average of 10 top (education) spending states according to: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NCES | EdWeek | NEA | NCES | EdWeek | NEA | NCES | EdWeek | NEA |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,965 \\ & \text { per pupil } \end{aligned}$ | \$ 3,427 <br> per pupil | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,295 \\ & \text { per pupil } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,005 \\ & \text { per pupil } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,829 \\ & \text { per pupil } \end{aligned}$ | \$2,381 <br> per pupil | \$7,599 per pupil | $\$ 7,648$ <br> per pupil | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 9,680 \\ & \text { per pupil } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\$ 11.8$ billion | \$23.5 billion | \$14.3 billion | \$18.1 billion | $\$ 19.4$ billion | \$14.8 billion | \$45.8 billion | \$52.5 billion | $\$ 60.2$ <br> billion |
| ço. 2010-11 through 2012-13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## California Income and Effort

Californians Have Higher Incomes, But State Spends Less on K-12

## California Is a High Income State

|  | Per Capita Income |
| :--- | :---: |
| California | $\$ 44,666$ |
| National Average | $\frac{\$ 42,298}{\$ 2,368}$ |
| Difference |  |
|  | $14^{\text {th }}$ |
| CA Ranking |  |

## CA High Spending on Government, Low on Schools

|  | State and Local Government Expenditures per <br> \$1,000 personal income |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Government | K-12 Education |
| National <br> Average | 196 | 40 |
| California | $\underline{210}$ | $\underline{34}$ |
| Difference | 14 | -6 |
| Percent <br> difference | $7.1 \%$ | $-15.0 \%$ |
| CA Ranking | $19^{\text {th }}$ | $42^{\text {nd }}$ |

## Low effort - CA Spends Less of Income on Schools

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Percent of total taxable <br> income spent on education |
| National Average | $3.4 \%$ |
| California | $\underline{2.7 \%}$ |
| Difference | $0.7 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Rank | tied for $44^{\text {th }}$ |

- Average Effort (3.4\%) would close the funding gap to the National Average, providing an additional $\$ 15$ billion


## California Staffing Data

Less Funding and Higher Salaries Lead to Fewer Staff


## CA Worst Pupil-Teacher Ratio in Country

|  | Pupils per Teacher |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| California | 25 |  |
| National Average | $\frac{15.4}{9.6}$ |  |
| Difference |  |  |
| Additional teachers to close gap | 155,029 |  |
| CA Ranking | $50^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| Gsba Source: NEA (2012-13) |  | 14 |

## Despite K-3 CSR, CA Has Some of the Largest Classes

|  |  | High <br> School |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| California | 25.0 | 32.0 |
| National Average | 21.2 | 26.8 |
| Difference | 3.8 | 5.2 |
|  |  |  |
| CA Ranking | $43^{\text {rd }}$ of 46 | $45^{\text {th }}$ of 46 |

## CA Less Staff in All Categories (students per staff member)

|  | All staff | Officials <br> and <br> Admin. | Principals <br> and Asst. <br> Principals | Instruct- <br> ional <br> aides | Guid- <br> ance <br> coun- <br> selors | Librar- <br> ians |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | 11.5 | 1,958 | 389 | 98.4 | 818 | 7,890 |
| National <br> Average | $\underline{8.1}$ | $\underline{788}$ | $\underline{298}$ | $\underline{69.7}$ | $\underline{470}$ | $\underline{1,023}$ |
| Difference | 3.4 | 1,170 | $\underline{92}$ | 28.7 | 348 | 6,867 |
| Additional <br> staff to close <br> gap | 232,275 | 4,773 | 4,982 | 26,306 | 5,694 | 5,349 |
| Ranking | Tied $48^{\text {th }}$ | $45^{\text {th }}$ | $45^{\text {th }}$ | $47^{\text {th }}$ | $49^{\text {th }}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ |

## Salary Costs Higher than other States

|  | Average Teacher <br> Salaries <br> (unadjusted) | Average Teacher <br> Salaries adjusted for <br> Regional Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| California | $\$ 69,324$ | $\$$ 62,698 |

## Student Demographics

California Has Higher Percentage of Students with Higher Needs

## CA Has Highest Concentration of ELs

| State | English Learners <br> (Percent of <br> Enrollment) |
| :--- | :---: |
| California | 23.2 |
| Nevada | 19.6 |
| New Mexico | 16.1 |
| Texas | 14.9 |
| Hawail | 13.5 |
| Colorado | 12.0 |
| National Average | 9.1 |

## CA Has Above Average Rate of Low Income Students

|  | Free/Reduced <br> Lunch Eligible |
| :--- | :---: |
| California | $54.1 \%$ |

## California Families Face Highest Supplemental Poverty Measure

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Children | Black | Hispanic <br> Latino |  |
| California | $26.6 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ |
| National Average | $\underline{18.1 \%}$ | $\underline{29.7 \%}$ | $\underline{30.6 \%}$ |
| Difference | $8.5 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |

Census Bureau's Supplemental Poverty Measure adjusts for regional housing costs, medical expenses and governmental programs (tax credits, taxes, food stamps, free/reduced lunch and other programs that help meet basic needs)

## Student Outcomes

California Trails other States on most outcome indicators

## Average CA students Trail National Average in all grades and subjects (NAEP scale scores)

|  | Reading |  | Math |  | Science |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {h }}$ | $8^{\text {in }}$ |
| National Average | 222 | 268 | 242 | 285 | 152 |
| California | $\underline{213}$ | $\underline{262}$ | $\underline{234}$ | $\underline{276}$ | $\underline{140}$ |
| Gap | 9 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 11 |
| Rank | $46^{\text {th }}$ | $41^{\text {st }}$ | $47^{\text {th }}$ | $44^{\text {th }}$ | $48^{\text {th }}$ |

## CA bottom quartile trail national bottom quartile by more than a grade level



## CA Achievement Gap around 2.5 grade levels



## International Math Comparison TIMSS

## Countries/Regions Outscoring CA in Math

Rep of Korea, Singapore, Taipei, Hong Kong, Japan, Russia, Quebec, Israel, Finland, Ontario, US Average, Slovenia, England, Alberta, Hungary, Australia, Lithuania, Italy

Countries/Regions Below CA in Math
New Zealand, Kazakhstan, Sweden, Ukraine, Dubai, Norway, Armenia, Romania, United Arab Emirates, Turkey, Lebanon, Abu Dhabi, Malaysia, Georgia, Thailand, Macedonia, Tunisia, Chile, Iran, Qatar, Bahrain, Jordan, Palestinian National Authority, Saudi Arabia, Indonesia, Syrian Arab Rep, Morocco, Oman, Ghana

## International Science Comparison - TIMSS

Countries/Regions Outscoring CA in Science
Singapore, Taipei, Rep of Korea, Japan, Finland, Alberta, Slovenia, Russia, Hong Kong, England, US Average, Ontario, Quebec, Australia, Israel, Lithuania, New Zealand, Sweden, Italy, Ukraine

## Countries/Regions Below CA in Science

Norway, Kazakhstan, Dubai, Turkey, Romania, United Arab Emirates, Chile, Abu Dhabi, Bahrain, Thailand, Jordan, Tunisia, Armenia, Saudi Arabia, Malaysia, Syrian Arab Rep, Palestinian National Authority, Georgia, Oman, Qatar, Macedonia, Lebanon, Indonesia, Morocco, Ghana

## High School Graduation Rates

|  | All Students | Low Income | Black | Hispanic |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National <br> Average | $80 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| California | $\underline{78 \%}$ | $\underline{73 \%}$ | $\underline{66 \%}$ | $\underline{73 \%}$ |
| Difference | $2 \%$ | $-1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Rank | $30^{\text {th }}$ of 47 | $18^{\text {th }}$ of 47 | $33^{\text {rd }}$ of 47 | $20^{\text {th }}$ of 47 |

## Few Graduates Complete College Prep Coursework

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline & & & \\
& \text { Graduates } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Completed A-G } \\
\text { Requirements }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Percent of Grads } \\
\text { Completing A-G }\end{array}
$$ <br>

\hline All \& 422,177 \& 166,521 \& 39 \%\end{array}\right]\)| Black |
| :--- |
| Hispanic or |
| Latino |
| 199,033 |

## Many CSU Freshmen Not Ready for College Level Coursework



## Low EL Reclassification Rate has Lasting Impact

| English Learner Reclassification Rate |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total English | $1,413,549$ |
| Learners | 170,225 |
| Reclassifications | $12.0 \%$ |
| Reclassification |  |
| Rate |  |

