June 5, 2015

Governor Jerry Brown
c/o State Capitol, Suite 1173
Sacramento, CA 95814
Fax: (916) 558-3160

Senate President pro Tempore Kevin de León
State Capitol, Room 205
Sacramento, CA 95814
Fax: (916) 651-4924

Assembly Speaker Toni Atkins
State Capitol
P.O. Box 942849
Sacramento, CA 94249
Fax: (916) 319-2178

SUPPORT FOR BUDGET REQUEST: $30 Million Augmentation to Align the Foster Youth Services Program with the Local Control Funding Formula

Dear Governor Brown, President pro Tempore de León, and Speaker Atkins,

We are a group of organizations invested in improving the educational outcomes of California’s estimated 60,000 foster youth. Children enter foster care when they have experienced abuse or neglect through no fault of their own. When this happens, the State becomes their parent and assumes responsibility for their safety, health, and well-being, including their educational success.

In 2013, California became the first state in the nation to prioritize the education of foster youth in a substantial way by creating an education finance and accountability system that supports the educational needs of students in foster care. However, today, many foster youth in California cannot access the education opportunities that can help them reach their college and career dreams. A recent review by SRI International of Local Control and Accountability Plans developed by school districts found “slim evidence of districts’ attention to foster youth.” “School districts find themselves on still unfamiliar terrain,” the authors wrote, and “California still has a long way to go before it can guarantee that foster youth do not fall through cracks in the system.”

To ensure that the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) is implemented effectively and fulfills the LCFF promise to students in foster care, we request a budget augmentation for California’s Foster Youth Services (FYS) program.

1 Daniel C. Humphrey and Julia E. Koppich, Foster Youth and Early Implementation of the Local Control Funding Formula: Not Yet Making the Grade, SRI International and J. Koppich & Associates, 2015.
The inclusion of foster youth in the LCFF framework was an important acknowledgement that our foster children need targeted education supports to fulfill their potential. With over four decades of investment by the State, the FYS program is now well-positioned to coordinate those much-needed targeted supports. A $30 million budget augmentation will allow the program to serve all foster youth and support implementation of LCFF.

In this current landscape, FYS is not being fully leveraged to support the successful and efficient implementation of LCFF for students in foster care. The FYS program and LCFF are currently misaligned in their definitions of “foster youth.” While the LCFF definition includes all foster youth with an open case, regardless of where they are placed, the FYS definition and funding are limited to foster youth placed in non-relative foster care settings such as group homes. This misalignment is creating confusion for school districts and county offices of education. More importantly, it means that foster youth living with family members are not eligible or funded to receive supports provided by FYS programs.

Studies have found that foster youth fare better emotionally when placed with people they know, so over time we have placed more foster youth with relatives and provided services to their parents to keep families together, if appropriate. Unfortunately, studies have also found the educational outcomes of foster children living with relatives to be similar to those living in non-relative foster homes, significantly worse than the general student population and worse than other underserved student subgroups.

In recognition of this fact, the LCFF definition includes all foster youth. The FYS program should be aligned with LCFF so that all foster children receive the educational supports they need, regardless of the type of foster placement in which they reside. This will fulfill the promise of LCFF and support a streamlined implementation structure for foster youth. Aligning FYS with LCFF requires changing the FYS definition of foster youth to match the LCFF definition of foster youth and increasing FYS funding by an additional $30 million.

As California’s child welfare system shifts towards prioritizing family-based foster care settings, it is important that our education system keep up with the changes to placement priorities. The State’s children are served by many systems. To fully support foster children, we must encourage these systems to work in concert and coordination.

We urge you to augment FYS by $30 million and to align FYS with LCFF to ensure that all foster youth benefit from the promise of LCFF. We look forward to continuing to work together to improve the educational outcomes of foster youth. For more information, please contact Melissa San Miguel at mmsanmiguel@youthlaw.org.

---

2 See Cal. Educ. Code § 42238.01 for the LCFF definition of foster youth and § 42921 for the FYS definition of foster youth.
3 Kristine Frerer, Lauren Davis Sosenko, Robin R. Henke, At Greater Risk: California Foster Youth and the Path from High School to College, Stuart Foundation, 2013.
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Senator Mark Leno
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