Fix the reserve cap



There are currently <u>two</u> active reserve cap bills in the Legislature: CSBA's sponsored Senate Bill 751, and Assembly Bill 235:

What CSBA-sponsored bill SB 751 (Hill & Glazer) would do:

- Raise the level of the statutory reserve limit from 6 percent to 17 percent (a nationally-recognized standard for government agency reserves);
- Clarify that the reserve cap law would apply only to unassigned ending balances in the school district's general fund and special reserve fund for other than capital outlay projects, and;
- Exempt all basic aid districts and more than 500 small districts (<u>less than 2,501 ADA for all district types</u>).

What AB 235 (O'Donnell) would do:

- Keep the level of the statutory reserve limit at 6 percent;
- Exempt all basic aid districts and a limited number of small school districts (<u>less than 1,501 for unified school districts</u>, 901 for elementary districts and 301 for high school districts), and;
- Amend the reserve cap "trigger."
 - o Currently, if a deposit of any amount (even \$1) is made to a state Proposition 98 rainy day fund, the cap would be triggered. Under AB 235, the Proposition 98 rainy day fund would need a minimum balance of 3 percent of the K-12 portion of the Proposition 98 guarantee to trigger the reserve cap.

CSBA's position on Assembly Bill 235 is "Support if Amended." CSBA is seeking amendments to align AB 235 with Senate Bill 751.

Background on the current reserve cap law:

- The current reserve cap law was established in 2014 by budget trailer bill language.
- Current law mandates that, if certain economic conditions are met, a reserve limit (or "cap") would become active and would affect all California school districts.
- The reserve cap would force school districts to spend reserves down to a level of 6 percent of the general fund (for most districts).